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#### Abstract

In this paper we give six explicit formulae to compute the Kirchhoff index, the multiplicative degree-Kirchhoff index and the additive degree-Kirchhoff index of the $k$-cactus chain and the cactus graph which can be obtained from a $k$-cactus chain by expanding each of the cut-vertices to a cut edge.
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## 1 Introduction

The objects nowadays known as cactus appeared in the scientific literature more than half a century ago. Motivated by papers of Husimi [28] and Riddell [41], [44] dealt with cluster integrals in the theory of condensation in statistical mechanics. Besides statistical mechanics, where cacti and their generalizations serve as simplified models of real lattices [36, 42], the concept has also found applications in the theory of electrical and communication networks [56] and in chemistry [25,55]. Many topological indices have been studied for these structures, including the matching and independence polynomials [4, 16], the Hosoya indices [1], $\pi$-electron energy [52], the Hosoya polynomials [32], and the subtree numbers [50].

A cactus graph $G$ is a connected graph in which each edge lies on at most one cycle. Therefore, each block in $G$ is either an edge or a cycle. A $k$-cactus is a cactus in which each block is a $k$-cycle. A $k$-cactus chain is a $k$-cactus in which each block contains at most two cut-vertices and each cut-vertex lies in exactly two blocks. The number of blocks in a $k$-cactus chain is the length of the chain. A 6 -cactus chain is also called spiro hexagonal chain, and a polyphenyl chain is a cactus graph which

[^0]can be obtained from a 6 -cactus chain by expanding each of the cut-vertices to an cut edge. For example, see the first graph in Figure 1.

$$
G_{8,6}=H_{1} c_{1} H_{2} c_{2} . . c_{7} H_{8}
$$

$P_{7}$


Figure 1: A spiro hexagonal chain, its corresponding weighted path and polyphenyl chain
Let $G$ be a connected graph. The vertex set and edge set of $G$ are denoted by $V(G)$ and $E(G)$, respectively. Based on the theory of electrical networks, Klein and Randić $[30]$ introduced a new distance function named resistance distance. The resistance distance between a pair of vertices $u$ and $v$ in $G$, denoted by $r_{G}(u, v)$ or $r(u, v)$, is the effective resistance between them in the electrical network $N$ constructed from $G$ by replacing each edge with a unit resistor. This new intrinsic graph metric has being recognized as having more nice purely mathematical, chemical and physical interpretations [7, 12, 29-31].

Analogous to distance-based graph invariants, various graph invariants based on resistance distance have been defined and studied. Among these invariants, the most famous one is the Kirchhoff index [30], also known as the total effective resistance [21] or the effective graph resistance [18]. Like many topological indices, the Kirchhoff index is a structure descriptor and has been found very useful in purely mathematical, physical and chemical interpretations [30, 31, 54]. If the ordinary distance is replaced by the resistance distance in the expression for the Wiener index [47], one arrives at the Kirchhoff index [30].

Definition 1.1 The Kirchhoff index of a graph $G$ is denoted by $K f(G)$ and defined as follows:

$$
K f(G)=\sum_{\{u, v\} \subset V(G)} r_{G}(u, v) .
$$

Recently, two modifications of the Kirchhoff index, which takes the degrees of the graph into account, have been considered. One is the multiplicative degree-Kirchhoff index introduced by Chen and Zhang [11]. If the ordinary distance is replaced by resistance distance in the expression for the Gutman index [22], then one arrives at the multiplicative degree-Kirchhoff index.

Definition 1.2 The multiplicative degree-Kirchhoff index of a graph $G$ is denoted by $K f^{*}(G)$ and defined as follows:

$$
K f^{*}(G)=\sum_{\{u, v\} \subset V(G)}\left[\operatorname{deg}_{G}(u) \operatorname{deg}_{G}(v) r_{G}(u, v)\right],
$$

where $\operatorname{deg}_{G}(u)$ is the degree of $u$ in $G$.
The other one is the degree resistance distance introduced by Gutman et al. [24]. If the ordinary distance is replaced by resistance distance in the expression for the degree distance $[15,22]$, then one arrives at the degree resistance distance.

Definition 1.3 The degree resistance distance of a graph $G$ is denoted by $K f^{+}(G)$ and defined as follows:

$$
K f^{+}(G)=\sum_{u, v \subset V(G)}\left[\left(\operatorname{deg}_{G}(u)+\operatorname{deg}_{G}(v)\right) r_{G}(u, v)\right] .
$$

Palacios [38] named the same graph invariant "additive degree-Kirchhoff index".
The three Kirchhoffian indices have received much attention in recent years. Much work has been done to compute the three indices of some classes of graphs, or give some bounds for the three indices of graphs and characterize extremal graphs. In particular, Yang and Klein [49] gave formulae for the three indices of iterated subdivisions and triangulations of graphs. Huang et al. [27] gave some relations among the three indices of a connected graph and extended some results in [49]. In $[17,34]$, the authors determined the first three minimum additive degree-Kirchhoff indices among all the cacti possessing fixed number of the vertices and cycles and characterized the corresponding extremal graphs. Deng et al. [14] obtained the explicit formulae to compute the Kirchhoff indices of spiro and polyphenyl hexagonal chains, determined the extremal values and characterized the extremal graph with respect to the Kirchhoff index among all spiro and polyphenyl hexagonal chains with $h$ hexagons. Palacios [40] reviewed some known facts of the three indices, and found new relations among them. Motivated by the above results, in this paper we study the three Kirchhoffian indices of the $k$-cactus chain.

In this paper we obtain six explicit formulae to compute the Kirchhoff index, the multiplicative degree-Kirchhoff index and the additive degree-Kirchhoff index of the $k$-cactus chain and the cactus graph which can be obtained from a $k$-cactus chain
by expanding each of the cut-vertices to a cut edge. Our results extend the main results in [14]. Moreover it reduces the problems on the three Kirchhoffian indices of the the graphs in the above two classes to the Winer index of the weighted-path, which make it trivial to determine the extremal problems on the three indices of the cactus graphs in the above two classes. The rest of the paper is organized as follows. We present our results in Section 2 and their proofs in Section 3.

## 2 Main Results

It is not difficult to see that beginning from a $C_{k}$, a $k$-cactus chain can be obtained by stepwise additions of a new terminal $C_{k}$. Denote by $G_{h, k}=H_{1} c_{1} H_{2} c_{2} \cdots c_{h-1} H_{h}$, a $k$-cactus chain with $h k$-cycles, where $H_{i}$ is the $i$ th $k$-cycle of $G_{h, k}$ and $c_{i}$ is the common cut vertex of $H_{i}$ and $H_{i+1}, i=1,2, \cdots, h-1$. Denote by $G_{h, k}^{\prime}$ the corresponding cactus graph obtained by expanding each of the cut-vertices $c_{i}$ of $G_{h, k}$ to a cut edge ( $u_{i}, v_{i}$ ) with $u_{i} \in H_{i}$ and $v_{i} \in H_{i+1}$. For an example see Figure 1. Obviously, $G_{h, k}$ is determined completely by its cut vertex sequence $c_{1}, c_{2}, \cdots, c_{h-1}$.

For a $k$-cactus chain $G_{h, k}=H_{1} c_{1} H_{2} c_{2} \cdots c_{h-1} H_{h}$, we denote by $\left(P_{h-1}, \omega_{r}\right)$ the corresponding edge-weighted path $P_{h-1}=c_{1} c_{2} \cdots c_{h-1}$ with the weight function $\omega_{r}: E\left(P_{h-1}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$such that $\omega_{r}\left(c_{i}, c_{i+1}\right)=r_{G_{h, k}}\left(c_{i}, c_{i+1}\right)(1 \leq i \leq h-1)$.

Recall that for an edge-weighted graph $(G, \omega)$, the length of a path in $(G, \omega)$ is the sum of the weights of all the edges in the path and the distance between $u$ and $v$, and denote by $d_{G}(u, v)$ the minimum length of all the $(u, v)$-path. The Wiener index of an edge-weighted graph $(G, \omega)$ is defined as

$$
W(G, \omega)=\sum_{\{u, v\} \subset V(G)} d_{G}(u, v) .
$$

Therefore, for a $k$-cactus chain $G_{h, k}=H_{1} c_{1} H_{2} c_{2} \cdots c_{h-1} H_{h}$,

$$
W\left(P_{h-1}, \omega_{r}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{h-1} \sum_{j=1}^{i} r_{G_{h, k}}\left(c_{i}, c_{j}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{h-2}\left[i(h-1-i) r_{G_{h, k}}\left(c_{i}, c_{i+1}\right)\right] .
$$

Theorem 2.1 Let $G_{h, k}$ be a $k$-cactus chain with $h k$-cycles, $G_{h, k}^{\prime}$ be the corresponding cactus graph obtained by expanding each of the cut-vertices $c_{i}$ of $G_{h, k}$ to a cut edge and $\left(P_{h-1}, \omega_{r}\right)$ be the corresponding edge-weighted path. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
K f\left(G_{h, k}\right)=(k-1)^{2} W\left(P_{h-1}, \omega_{r}\right)+\frac{1}{6}(k-1)\left(k^{2}-1\right) h^{2}+\frac{1}{12}(2-k)\left(k^{2}-1\right) h \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
K f^{+}\left(G_{h, k}\right)=4 k(k-1) W\left(P_{h-1}, \omega_{r}\right)+\frac{1}{3}(2 k-1)\left(k^{2}-1\right) h^{2}-\frac{1}{3}(k-1)\left(k^{2}-1\right) h, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
K f\left(G_{h, k}^{\prime}\right)=k^{2} W\left(P_{h-1}, \omega_{r}\right)+\frac{1}{6} k^{2} h^{3}+\frac{1}{6}\left(k^{3}-k\right) h^{2}+\frac{1}{12}\left(-k^{3}-2 k^{2}+k\right) h \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
K f^{+}\left(G_{h, k}^{\prime}\right)= & \left(4 k^{2}+4 k\right) W\left(P_{h-1}, \omega_{r}\right)+\frac{2}{3}\left(k^{2}+k\right) h^{3}+\frac{1}{3}\left(2 k^{3}+k^{2}-5 k-1\right) h^{2}  \tag{5}\\
& +\frac{1}{3}\left(-k^{3}-3 k^{2}+2 k+1\right) h
\end{align*}
$$

(6)

$$
\begin{aligned}
K f^{*}\left(G_{h, k}^{\prime}\right)= & 4(k+1)^{2} W\left(P_{h-1}, \omega_{r}\right)+\frac{2}{3}(k+1)^{2} h^{3}+\frac{2}{3}\left(k^{3}+k^{2}-4 k-4\right) h^{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{3}\left(-k^{3}+k^{2}-5 k+9\right) h-1,
\end{aligned}
$$

which imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
K f\left(G_{h, k}^{\prime}\right)=\frac{k^{2}}{(k-1)^{2}} K f\left(G_{h, k}\right)+\frac{1}{6} k^{2} h^{3}-\frac{1}{6}\left(k^{2}+k\right) h^{2}+\frac{-2 k^{3}+k^{2}-k}{12 k-12} h \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
K f^{+}\left(G_{h, k}^{\prime}\right)=\frac{k+1}{k-1} K f^{+}\left(G_{h, k}\right)+\frac{2}{3}\left(k^{2}+k\right) h^{3}-\frac{1}{3}\left(2 k^{2}+5 k\right) h^{2}-\frac{1}{3}\left(2 k^{2}-k\right) h, \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
K f^{*}\left(G_{h, k}^{\prime}\right)= & \frac{(k+1)^{2}}{k^{2}} K f^{*}\left(G_{h, k}\right)+\frac{2}{3}(k+1)^{2} h^{3}+\frac{2}{3 k}\left(k^{3}+4 k^{2}+2 k-1\right) h^{2}  \tag{9}\\
& \left.-\frac{1}{3 k}\left(2 k^{4}-5 k^{3}+15 k^{2}-25 k+1\right) h\right]-1
\end{align*}
$$

Let $k=6$. Then we have the following corollary.
Corollary 2.1 Suppose that $P P C_{h}$ is a polyphenyl chain with $h(h \geq 2)$ hexagons, $S P C_{h}$ is the corresponding 6 -cactus chain obtained from $P P C_{h}$ by squeezing off its cut edges and $\left(P_{h-1}, \omega_{r}\right)$ is the corresponding edge-weighted path to $S P C_{h}$. Then
$(1)^{[14]} K f\left(S P C_{h}\right)=25 W\left(P_{h-1}, \omega_{r}\right)+\frac{175}{6} h^{2}-\frac{35}{3} h$,
(2) $K f^{+}\left(S P C_{h}\right)=120 W\left(P_{h-1}, \omega_{r}\right)+\frac{385}{3} h^{2}-\frac{175}{3} h$,
(3) $K f^{*}\left(S P C_{h}\right)=144 W\left(P_{h-1}, \omega_{r}\right)+140 h^{2}-70 h$,
(4) ${ }^{[14]} K f\left(P P C_{h}\right)=36 W\left(P_{h-1}, \omega_{r}\right)+6 h^{3}+35 h^{2}-\frac{47}{2} h$,
(5) $K f^{+}\left(P P C_{h}\right)=168 W\left(P_{h-1}, \omega_{r}\right)+28 h^{3}+\frac{437}{3} h^{2}-\frac{311}{3} h$,
(6) $K f^{*}\left(P P C_{h}\right)=196 W\left(P_{h-1}, \omega_{r}\right)+\frac{98}{3} h^{3}+\frac{448}{3} h^{2}-67 h-1$.

Our main results reduce the problems on the Kirchhoff index (or multiplicative degree-Kirchhoff index, additive degree-Kirchhoff index) of the $k$-cactus chain and the corresponding cactus graph, which can be obtained from a $k$-cactus graph by expanding each of the cut-vertices to a cut edge to the corresponding problems on the Wiener index of the weighted path, in which the weight of each edge is in the set $\left\{r_{C_{k}}(u, v) \mid u, v \in V\left(C_{k}\right)\right\}=\left\{\frac{i(k-i)}{k}, 1 \leq i \leq\lfloor k / 2\rfloor\right\}$.

For example, if $k=6$, then extremal problems on the polyphenyl and spiro chains with $h$ hexagons are determined on the extremal problems on the Wiener of the weighted path $P_{h-1}$, in which the weight of each edge is $\frac{5}{6}, \frac{4}{3}$ or $\frac{3}{2}$.

Corollary 2.2 Suppose $G_{h, k}=H_{1} c_{1} H_{2} c_{2} \cdots c_{h-1} H_{h}$ to be a $k$-cactus chain with $h k$-cycles. Then the Kirchhoff index (or multiplicative degree-Kirchhoff index, additive degree-Kirchhoff index) of $G_{h, k}$ receives the maximum value when

$$
r_{G_{h, k}}\left(c_{i}, c_{i+1}\right)=\frac{\lfloor k / 2\rfloor(k-\lfloor k / 2\rfloor)}{k} \quad \text { for all } 1 \leq h-1,
$$

and the minimum value when

$$
r_{G_{h, k}}\left(c_{i}, c_{i+1}\right)=\frac{k-1}{k} \quad \text { for all } 1 \leq h-1
$$

Remark 2.1 From the proof of Theorem 2.1, it can be found that, the above results also hold if we replace " $G_{h, k}$ a cactus chain" by " $G_{h, k}$ is a $k$-cactus graph, in which any cut vertex is at most in two $k$-cycles".

## 3 The Proof of Main Result

The resistance distance of a vertex $v \in V(G)$, denoted by $R(v \mid G)$, is the sum of distances between $v$ and all other vertices of $G$, that is, $R(v \mid G)=\sum_{u \in V(G)} r_{G}(u, v)$. The degree resistance distance of a vertex $v \in V(G)$ is denoted by $R_{D}(v \mid G)=$ $\sum_{u \in V(G)} \operatorname{deg}_{G}(u) r_{G}(u, v)$. Gutman et al. [24] presented the following explicit formulae for the cycles.

Lemma 3.1 ${ }^{[24]}$ Let $C_{k}$ be the cycle with $k$ vertices, and $v \in V\left(C_{k}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R\left(v \mid C_{k}\right)=\frac{1}{6}\left(k^{2}-1\right), \quad R_{D}\left(v \mid C_{k}\right)=\frac{1}{3}\left(k^{2}-1\right), \\
& K f\left(C_{k}\right)=\frac{1}{12}\left(k^{3}-k\right), \quad K f^{*}\left(C_{k}\right)=K f^{+}\left(C_{k}\right)=\frac{1}{3}\left(k^{3}-k\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 3.2 ${ }^{[46]}$ Suppose that $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are two connected graphs with $\left|V\left(G_{i}\right)\right|=$ $n_{i}$ and $\left|E\left(G_{i}\right)\right|=m_{i}(i=1,2)$. If we identify any vertex, say $x_{1}$, of $G_{1}$ with any other vertex, say $x_{2}$, of $G_{2}$ as a new common vertex $x$, and obtain a new graph $G$, then
(1) $r_{G}(a, b)=r_{G_{1}}\left(a, x_{1}\right)+r_{G_{2}}\left(x_{2}, b\right)$, for any $a \in V\left(G_{1}\right), b \in V\left(G_{2}\right)$,
(2) $K f(G)=K f\left(G_{1}\right)+K f\left(G_{2}\right)+\left(n_{1}-1\right) R\left(x_{2} \mid G_{2}\right)+\left(n_{2}-1\right) R\left(x_{1} \mid G_{1}\right)$,
(3) $K f^{+}(G)=K f^{+}\left(G_{1}\right)+K f^{+}\left(G_{2}\right)+\left(n_{2}-1\right) R_{D}\left(x_{1} \mid G_{1}\right)+\left(n_{1}-1\right) R_{D}\left(x_{2} \mid G_{2}\right)$

$$
+2 m_{2} R\left(x_{1} \mid G_{1}\right)+2 m_{1} R\left(x_{2} \mid G_{2}\right)
$$

Lemma 3.3 Suppose that $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are two connected graphs with $\left|V\left(G_{i}\right)\right|=$ $n_{i}$, and $\left|E\left(G_{i}\right)\right|=m_{i}(i=1,2)$. If we identify any vertex, say $x_{1}$, of $G_{1}$ with any other vertex, say $x_{2}$, of $G_{2}$ as a new common vertex $x$, and obtain a new graph $G$, then

$$
K f^{*}(G)=K f^{*}\left(G_{1}\right)+K f^{*}\left(G_{2}\right)+2 m_{1} R_{D}\left(x_{2} \mid G_{2}\right)+2 m_{2} R_{D}\left(x_{1} \mid G_{1}\right) .
$$

Proof By the definition of the multiplicative degree-Kirchhoff index of a graph $G$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
K f^{*}(G)= & \sum_{\{y, z\} \subset V\left(G_{1}\right)}\left[\operatorname{deg}_{G}(y) \operatorname{deg}_{G}(z) r_{G}(y, z)\right] \\
& +\sum_{\{y, z\} \subset V\left(G_{2}\right)}\left[\operatorname{deg}_{G}(y) \operatorname{deg}_{G}(z) r_{G}(y, z)\right] \\
& +\sum_{y \in V\left(G_{1}\right)-\{x\}} \sum_{z \in V\left(G_{2}\right)-\{x\}}\left[\operatorname{deg}_{G}(y) \operatorname{deg}_{G}(z) r_{G}(y, z)\right] \\
= & K f^{*}\left(G_{1}\right)+\sum_{y \in V\left(G_{1}\right)}\left[\operatorname{deg}_{G}(y) \operatorname{deg}_{G_{2}}(x) r_{G}(y, x)\right] \\
& +K f^{*}\left(G_{2}\right)+\sum_{z \in V\left(G_{2}\right)}\left[\operatorname{deg}_{G}(z) \operatorname{deg}_{G_{1}}(x) r_{G}(z, x)\right] \\
& +\sum_{\left.y \in V\left(G_{1}\right)-\{x\}\right\}} \sum_{z \in V\left(G_{2}\right)-\{x\}}\left[\left(\operatorname{deg}_{G}(y) \operatorname{deg}_{G}(z)\left(r_{G}(y, x)+r_{G}(x, z)\right)\right]\right. \\
= & K f^{*}\left(G_{1}\right)+\operatorname{deg}_{G_{2}}(x) \sum_{y \in V\left(G_{1}\right)}\left[\operatorname{deg}_{G}(y) r_{G}(y, x)\right] \\
& +K f^{*}\left(G_{2}\right)+\operatorname{deg}_{G_{1}}(x) \sum_{z \in V\left(G_{2}\right)}\left[\operatorname{deg}_{G}(z) r_{G}(z, x)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad+\left[\sum_{z \in V\left(G_{2}\right)-\{x\}} \operatorname{deg}_{G}(z)\right] \sum_{y \in V\left(G_{1}\right)-\{x\}}\left[\operatorname{deg}_{G}(y) r_{G}(y, x)\right] \\
& \\
& +\left[\sum_{y \in V\left(G_{1}\right)-\{x\}} \operatorname{deg}_{G}(y)\right] \sum_{z \in V\left(G_{2}\right)-\{x\}}\left[\operatorname{deg}_{G}(z) r_{G}(x, z)\right] \\
& =K f^{*}\left(G_{1}\right)+K f^{*}\left(G_{2}\right)+2 m_{1} R_{D}\left(x_{2} \mid G_{2}\right)+2 m_{2} R_{D}\left(x_{1} \mid G_{1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof is completed.
Lemma 3.4 Let $e=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ be a cut edge of $G$. Suppose that $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are two connected components of $G-e$ with $x_{i} \in V\left(G_{i}\right),\left|V\left(G_{i}\right)\right|=n_{i}$ and $\left|E\left(G_{i}\right)\right|=m_{i}$ $(i=1,2)$. Then
(1) $K f(G)=K f\left(G_{1}\right)+K f\left(G_{2}\right)+n_{2} R\left(x_{1} \mid G_{1}\right)+n_{1} R\left(x_{2} \mid G_{2}\right)+n_{1} n_{2}$,
(2) $K f^{+}(G)=K f^{+}\left(G_{1}\right)+K f^{+}\left(G_{2}\right)+n_{2} R_{D}\left(x_{1} \mid G_{1}\right)+n_{1} R_{D}\left(x_{2} \mid G_{2}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\left(2 m_{2}+2\right) R\left(x_{1} \mid G_{1}\right)+\left(2 m_{1}+2\right) R\left(x_{2} \mid G_{2}\right) \\
& +n_{1}\left(2 m_{2}+1\right)+n_{2}\left(2 m_{1}+1\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

(3) $K f^{*}(G)=K f^{*}\left(G_{1}\right)+K f^{*}\left(G_{2}\right)+\left(2 m_{2}+2\right) R_{D}\left(x_{1} \mid G_{1}\right)+\left(2 m_{1}+2\right) R_{D}\left(x_{2} \mid G_{2}\right)$

$$
+\left(2 m_{1}+1\right)\left(2 m_{2}+1\right)
$$

Proof By the definition of the Kirchhoff index and Lemma 3.2, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
K f(G) & =K f\left(G_{1}\right)+K f\left(G_{2}\right)+\sum_{y \in V\left(G_{1}\right), z \in V\left(G_{2}\right)} r_{G}(y, z) \\
& =K f\left(G_{1}\right)+K f\left(G_{2}\right)+\sum_{y \in V\left(G_{1}\right), z \in V\left(G_{2}\right)}\left[r_{G_{1}}\left(y, x_{1}\right)+r_{G_{2}}\left(x_{2}, z\right)+1\right] \\
& =K f\left(G_{1}\right)+K f\left(G_{2}\right)+n_{2} R\left(x_{1} \mid G_{1}\right)+n_{1} R\left(x_{2} \mid G_{2}\right)+n_{1} n_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
K f^{*}(G)= & \sum_{\{y, z\} \subset V\left(G_{1}\right)}\left[\operatorname{deg}_{G}(y) \operatorname{deg}_{G}(z) r_{G}(y, z)\right] \\
& +\sum_{\{y, z\} \subset V\left(G_{2}\right)}\left[\operatorname{deg}_{G}(y) \operatorname{deg}_{G}(z) r_{G}(y, z)\right] \\
& +\sum_{y \in V\left(G_{1}\right), z \in V\left(G_{2}\right)}\left[\operatorname{deg}_{G}(y) \operatorname{deg}_{G}(z) r_{G}(y, z)\right] \\
= & K f^{*}\left(G_{1}\right)+\sum_{y \in V\left(G_{1}\right)}\left[\operatorname{deg}_{G}(y) r_{G}\left(y, x_{1}\right)\right] \\
& +K f^{*}\left(G_{2}\right)+\sum_{z \in V\left(G_{2}\right)}\left[\operatorname{deg}_{G}(z) r_{G}\left(z, x_{2}\right)\right] \\
& +\sum_{y \in V\left(G_{1}\right)} \sum_{z \in V\left(G_{2}\right)}\left[\operatorname{deg}_{G}(y) \operatorname{deg}_{G}(z)\left(r_{G}\left(y, x_{1}\right)+r_{G}\left(x_{2}, z\right)+1\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & K f^{*}\left(G_{1}\right)+R_{D}\left(x_{1} \mid G_{1}\right)+K f^{*}\left(G_{2}\right)+R_{D}\left(x_{2} \mid G_{2}\right) \\
& +R_{D}\left(x_{1} \mid G_{1}\right) \sum_{z \in V\left(G_{2}\right)} \operatorname{deg}_{G}(z)+R_{D}\left(x_{2} \mid G_{2}\right) \sum_{y \in V\left(G_{1}\right)} \operatorname{deg}_{G}(y) \\
& +\left[\sum_{y \in V\left(G_{1}\right)} \operatorname{deg}_{G}(y)\right]\left[\sum_{z \in V\left(G_{2}\right)} \operatorname{deg}_{G}(z)\right] \\
= & K f^{*}\left(G_{1}\right)+K f^{*}\left(G_{2}\right)+\left(2 m_{2}+2\right) R_{D}\left(x_{1} \mid G_{1}\right) \\
& +\left(2 m_{1}+2\right) R_{D}\left(x_{2} \mid G_{2}\right)+\left(2 m_{1}+1\right)\left(2 m_{2}+1\right), \\
K f^{+}(G)= & \sum_{\{y, z\} \subset V\left(G_{1}\right)}\left[\left(\operatorname{deg}_{G}(y)+\operatorname{deg}_{G}(z)\right) r_{G}(y, z)\right] \\
& +\sum_{\{y, z\} \subset V\left(G_{2}\right)}\left[\left(\operatorname{deg}_{G}(y)+\operatorname{deg}_{G}(z)\right) r_{G}(y, z)\right] \\
& +\sum_{y \in V\left(G_{1}\right), z \in V\left(G_{2}\right)}\left[\left(\operatorname{deg}_{G}(y)+\operatorname{deg}_{G}(z)\right) r_{G}(y, z)\right] \\
= & K f^{+}\left(G_{1}\right)+\sum_{y \in V\left(G_{1}\right)} r_{G}\left(y, x_{1}\right)+K f^{+}\left(G_{2}\right)+\sum_{z \in V\left(G_{2}\right)} r_{G}\left(z, x_{2}\right) \\
& +\sum_{y \in V\left(G_{1}\right), z \in V\left(G_{2}\right)}\left[\left(\operatorname{deg}_{G}(y)+\operatorname{deg}_{G}(z)\right)\left(r_{G}\left(y, x_{1}\right)+r_{G}\left(x_{2}, z\right)+1\right)\right] \\
= & K f^{+}\left(G_{1}\right)+\sum_{y \in V\left(G_{1}\right)} r_{G}\left(y, x_{1}\right)+K f^{+}\left(G_{2}\right)+\sum_{z \in V\left(G_{2}\right)} r_{G}\left(z, x_{2}\right) \\
& +n_{2} \sum_{y \in V\left(G_{1}\right)}\left[\operatorname{deg}_{G}(y)\left(r_{G}\left(y, x_{1}\right)\right]+\left[\sum_{y \in V\left(G_{1}\right)} \operatorname{deg}_{G}(y)\right]\left[\sum_{z \in V\left(G_{2}\right)} r_{G}\left(x_{2}, z\right)\right]\right. \\
& \left.+\left[\sum_{z \in V\left(G_{2}\right)} \operatorname{deg}_{G}(z)\right]\left[\sum_{y \in V\left(G_{1}\right)} r_{G}\left(y, x_{1}\right)\right]+n_{1} \sum_{z \in V\left(G_{2}\right)}\left[\operatorname{deg}_{G}(z)\right) r_{G}\left(x_{2}, z\right)\right] \\
& +n_{2} \sum_{y \in V\left(G_{1}\right)} \operatorname{deg}_{G}(y)+n_{1} \sum_{z \in V\left(G_{2}\right)} \operatorname{deg}{ }_{G}(z) \\
= & K f^{+}\left(G_{1}\right)+K f^{+}\left(G_{2}\right)+n_{2} R_{D}\left(x_{1} \mid G_{1}\right)+n_{1} R_{D}\left(x_{2} \mid G_{2}\right) \\
& +\left(2 m_{2}+2\right) R\left(x_{1} \mid G_{1}\right)+\left(2 m_{1}+2\right) R\left(x_{2} \mid G_{2}\right) \\
& +n_{2}\left(2 m_{1}+1\right)+n_{1}\left(2 m_{2}+1\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof is completed.
Lemma 3.5 Let $G_{h, k}=H_{1} c_{1} H_{2} c_{2} \cdots c_{h-1} H_{h}$ be a $k$-cactus chain with $h k$ cycles and $G_{h, k}^{\prime}$ be the corresponding cactus graph obtained by expanding each of the cut-vertices $c_{i}$ of $G_{h, k}$ to a cut edge $\left(u_{i}, v_{i}\right)$ with $u_{i} \in H_{i}$ and $v_{i} \in H_{i+1}$, as showed
in Figure 1. Denote by $G_{h-1, k}=H_{1} c_{1} H_{2} c_{2} \cdots c_{h-2} H_{h-1}$ the corresponding subgraph of $G_{h, k}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R\left(c_{h-1} \mid G_{h-1, k}\right)=(k-1) \sum_{j=1}^{h-1} r_{G_{h, k}}\left(c_{j}, c_{h-1}\right)+\frac{1}{6}\left(k^{2}-1\right)(h-1), \\
& R_{D}\left(c_{h-1} \mid G_{h-1, k}\right)=2 k \sum_{j=1}^{h-1} r_{G_{h, k}}\left(c_{j}, c_{h-1}\right)+\frac{1}{3}\left(k^{2}-1\right)(h-1), \\
& R\left(u_{h-1} \mid G_{h-1, k}^{\prime}\right)=k \sum_{j=1}^{h-1} r_{G_{h, k}^{\prime}}\left(u_{j}, u_{h-1}\right)+\frac{1}{6}\left(k^{2}-1\right)(h-1), \\
& R_{D}\left(u_{h-1} \mid G_{h-1, k}^{\prime}\right)=2(k+1) \sum_{j=1}^{h-1} r_{G_{h, k}^{\prime}}\left(u_{j}, u_{h-1}\right)+\frac{1}{3}\left(k^{2}-4\right)(h-1)+1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof By Lemma $3.1 R\left(c_{h-1} \mid H_{h-1}\right)=\frac{1}{6}\left(k^{2}-1\right)$, which implies that in $G_{h, k}$ the sum of the resistance distances between $c_{h-1}$ and all the vertices in $V\left(H_{j}\right)-\left\{c_{j}\right\}$ is

$$
(k-1) r_{G_{h, k}}\left(c_{j}, c_{h-1}\right)+\frac{1}{6}\left(k^{2}-1\right), \quad j=1,2, \cdots, h-1 .
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
R\left(c_{h-1} \mid G_{h-1, k}\right) & =\sum_{j=1}^{h-1}\left[(k-1) r_{G_{h, k}}\left(c_{j}, c_{h-1}\right)+\frac{1}{6}\left(k^{2}-1\right)\right] \\
& =(k-1) \sum_{j=1}^{h-1} r_{G_{h, k}}\left(c_{j}, c_{h-1}\right)+\frac{1}{6}\left(k^{2}-1\right)(h-1), \\
R_{D}\left(c_{h-1} \mid G_{h-1, k}\right) & =2 R\left(c_{h-1} \mid G_{h-1, k}\right)+2 \sum_{j=1}^{h-1} r_{G_{h, k}}\left(c_{j}, c_{h-1}\right) \\
& =2 k \sum_{j=1}^{h-1} r_{G_{h, k}}\left(c_{j}, c_{h-1}\right)+\frac{1}{3}\left(k^{2}-1\right)(h-1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
R\left(u_{h-1} \mid G_{h-1, k}^{\prime}\right) & =\sum_{j=1}^{h-1}\left[k r_{G_{h, k}^{\prime}}\left(u_{j}, u_{h-1}\right)+\frac{1}{6}\left(k^{2}-1\right)\right] \\
& =k \sum_{j=1}^{h-1} r_{G_{h, k}^{\prime}}\left(u_{j}, u_{h-1}\right)+\frac{1}{6}\left(k^{2}-1\right)(h-1),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{D}\left(u_{h-1} \mid G_{h-1, k}^{\prime}\right) & =2 R\left(u_{h-1} \mid G_{h-1, k}^{\prime}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{h-2}\left[r_{G_{h, k}^{\prime}}\left(u_{j}, u_{h-1}\right)+r_{G_{h, k}^{\prime}}\left(v_{j}, u_{h-1}\right)\right] \\
& =2 R\left(u_{h-1} \mid G_{h-1, k}^{\prime}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{h-2}\left[2 r_{G_{h, k}^{\prime}}\left(u_{j}, u_{h-1}\right)-1\right] \\
& =2(k+1) \sum_{j=1}^{h-1} r_{G_{h, k}^{\prime}}\left(u_{j}, u_{h-1}\right)+\frac{1}{3}\left(k^{2}-4\right)(h-1)+1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof is completed.
Proof of Theorem 2.1 Suppose $G_{h, k}=H_{1} c_{1} H_{2} c_{2} \cdots c_{h-1} H_{h}$. Define by $G_{j, k}=H_{1} c_{1} H_{2} c_{2} \cdots c_{j-1} H_{j}(1 \leq j \leq h-1)$ the corresponding subgraphs of $G_{h, k}$. Obviously,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|V\left(G_{h-1, k}\right)\right|=(k-1)(h-1)+1, \quad\left|E\left(G_{h-1, k}\right)\right|=k(h-1), \\
& \left|V\left(G_{h-1, k}^{\prime}\right)\right|=k(h-1), \quad\left|E\left(G_{h-1, k}^{\prime}\right)\right|=(k+1)(h-1)-1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Recall that $R\left(v \mid C_{k}\right)=\frac{1}{6}\left(k^{2}-1\right)$ for any vertex $v \in V\left(C_{k}\right), K f\left(C_{k}\right)=\frac{1}{12}\left(k^{3}-k\right)$ and by Lemma 3.5

$$
R\left(c_{h-1} \mid G_{h-1, k}\right)=(k-1) \sum_{j=1}^{h-1} r_{G_{h, k}}\left(c_{j}, c_{h-1}\right)+\frac{1}{6}\left(k^{2}-1\right)(h-1) .
$$

Then by Lemma 3.2,

$$
\begin{aligned}
K f\left(G_{h, k}\right)= & K f\left(G_{h-1, k}\right)+K f\left(H_{h}\right)+(k-1) R\left(c_{h-1} \mid G_{h-1, k}\right) \\
& +(k-1)(h-1) R\left(c_{h-1} \mid H_{h}\right) \\
= & K f\left(G_{h-1, k}\right)+(k-1)\left[(k-1) \sum_{j=1}^{h-1} r_{G_{h, k}}\left(c_{j}, c_{h-1}\right)+\frac{1}{6}\left(k^{2}-1\right)(h-1)\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{12}\left(k^{3}-k\right)+\frac{1}{6}(k-1)\left(k^{2}-1\right)(h-1) \\
= & K f\left(G_{h-1, k}\right)+(k-1)^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{h-1} r_{G_{h, k}}\left(c_{j}, c_{h-1}\right)+\frac{1}{3}(k-1)\left(k^{2}-1\right)(h-1) \\
& +\frac{1}{12}\left(k^{3}-k\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =K f\left(G_{1, k}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{h-1}\left[(k-1)^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{i} r_{G_{h, k}}\left(c_{j}, c_{i}\right)+\frac{1}{3}(k-1)\left(k^{2}-1\right) i+\frac{1}{12}\left(k^{3}-k\right)\right] \\
& =(k-1)^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{h-1} \sum_{j=1}^{i} r_{G_{h, k}}\left(c_{j}, c_{i}\right)+\frac{1}{6}(k-1)\left(k^{2}-1\right)\left(h^{2}-h\right)+\frac{1}{12}\left(k^{3}-k\right) h \\
& =(k-1)^{2} W\left(P_{h-1}, \omega_{r}\right)+\frac{1}{6}(k-1)\left(k^{2}-1\right) h^{2}+\frac{1}{12}(2-k)\left(k^{2}-1\right) h .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the same way

$$
\begin{aligned}
K f^{+}\left(G_{h, k}\right)= & K f^{+}\left(G_{h-1, k}\right)+K f^{+}\left(C_{k}\right)+(k-1) R_{D}\left(c_{h-1} \mid G_{h-1, k}\right) \\
& +(k-1)(h-1) R_{D}\left(c_{h-1} \mid H_{h}\right)+2 k R\left(c_{h-1} \mid G_{h-1, k}\right) \\
& +2 k(h-1) R\left(c_{h-1} \mid H_{h}\right) \\
= & K f^{+}\left(G_{h-1, k}\right)+\frac{1}{3}\left(k^{3}-k\right)+\frac{1}{3}(k-1)\left(k^{2}-1\right)(h-1) \\
& +\frac{1}{3} k\left(k^{2}-1\right)(h-1) \\
& +(k-1)\left[2 k \sum_{j=1}^{h-1} r_{G_{h, k}}\left(c_{j}, c_{h-1}\right)+\frac{1}{3}\left(k^{2}-1\right)(h-1)\right] \\
& +2 k\left[(k-1) \sum_{j=1}^{h-1} r_{G_{h, k}}\left(c_{j}, c_{h-1}\right)+\frac{1}{6}\left(k^{2}-1\right)(h-1)\right] \\
= & K f^{+}\left(G_{h-1, k}\right)+4 k(k-1) \sum_{j=1}^{h-1} r_{G_{h, k}}\left(c_{j}, c_{h-1}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{3}(4 k-2)\left(k^{2}-1\right)(h-1)+\frac{1}{3}\left(k^{3}-k\right) \\
= & K f^{+}\left(G_{1, k}\right) \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{h-1}\left[4 k(k-1) \sum_{j=1}^{i} r_{G_{h, k}}\left(c_{j}, c_{i}\right)+\frac{1}{3}(4 k-2)\left(k^{2}-1\right) i+\frac{1}{3}\left(k^{3}-k\right)\right] \\
= & 4 k(k-1) \sum_{i=1}^{h-1} \sum_{j=1}^{i} r_{G_{h, k}}\left(c_{j}, c_{i}\right)+\frac{1}{3}(2 k-1)\left(k^{2}-1\right) h^{2} \\
& -\frac{1}{3}(k-1)\left(k^{2}-1\right) h \\
= & 4 k(k-1) W\left(P_{h-1}, \omega_{r}\right)+\frac{1}{3}(2 k-1)\left(k^{2}-1\right) h^{2}-\frac{1}{3}(k-1)\left(k^{2}-1\right) h,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
K f^{*}\left(G_{h, k}\right)= & K f^{*}\left(G_{h-1, k}\right)+K f^{*}\left(C_{k}\right)+2 k R_{D}\left(c_{h-1} \mid G_{h-1, k}\right) \\
& +2 k(h-1) R_{D}\left(c_{h-1} \mid H_{h}\right) \\
= & K f^{*}\left(G_{h-1, k}\right)+\frac{1}{3}\left(k^{3}-k\right) \\
& +2 k\left[2 k \sum_{j=1}^{h-1} r_{G_{h, k}}\left(c_{j}, c_{h-1}\right)+\frac{1}{3}\left(k^{2}-1\right)(h-1)\right]+\frac{2}{3} k\left(k^{2}-1\right)(h-1) \\
= & K f^{*}\left(G_{h-1, k}\right)+4 k^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{h-1} r_{G_{h, k}}\left(c_{j}, c_{h-1}\right)+\frac{4}{3}\left(k^{3}-k\right)(h-1)+\frac{1}{3}\left(k^{3}-k\right) \\
= & K f^{*}\left(G_{1, k}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{h-1}\left[4 k^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{i} r_{G_{h, k}}\left(c_{j}, c_{i}\right)+\frac{4}{3}\left(k^{3}-k\right) i+\frac{1}{3}\left(k^{3}-k\right)\right] \\
= & 4 k^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{h-1} \sum_{j=1}^{i} r_{G_{h, k}}\left(c_{j}, c_{i}\right)+\frac{2}{3}\left(k^{3}-k\right) h^{2}-\frac{1}{3}\left(k^{3}-k\right) h \\
= & 4 k^{2} W\left(P_{h-1}, \omega_{r}\right)+\frac{2}{3}\left(k^{3}-k\right) h^{2}-\frac{1}{3}\left(k^{3}-k\right) h .
\end{aligned}
$$

Recall that $\left|V\left(G_{h-1, k}^{\prime}\right)\right|=k(h-1)$ and $\left|E\left(G_{h-1, k}^{\prime}\right)\right|=(k+1)(h-1)-1$, by Lemmas 3.4 and 3.5 , then we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
K f\left(G_{h, k}^{\prime}\right)= & K f\left(G_{h-1, k}^{\prime}\right)+K f\left(H_{h}\right)+k R\left(u_{h-1} \mid G_{h, k}^{\prime}\right) \\
& +k(h-1) R\left(v_{h-1} \mid H_{h}\right)+k^{2}(h-1) \\
= & K f\left(G_{h-1, k}^{\prime}\right)+\frac{1}{12}\left(k^{3}-k\right)+k\left[k \sum_{j=1}^{h-1} r_{G_{h, k}^{\prime}}\left(u_{j}, u_{h-1}\right)+\frac{1}{6}\left(k^{2}-1\right)(h-1)\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{6} k\left(k^{2}-1\right)(h-1)+k^{2}(h-1) \\
= & K f\left(G_{h-1, k}^{\prime}\right)+k^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{h-1} r_{G_{h, k}^{\prime}}\left(u_{j}, u_{h-1}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{3}\left(k^{3}+3 k^{2}-k\right)(h-1)+\frac{1}{12}\left(k^{3}-k\right) \\
= & K f\left(G_{1, k}^{\prime}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{h-1}\left[k^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{i} r_{G_{h, k}^{\prime}}\left(u_{j}, u_{i}\right)+\frac{1}{3}\left(k^{3}+3 k^{2}-k\right) i+\frac{1}{12}\left(k^{3}-k\right)\right] \\
= & k^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{h-1} \sum_{j=1}^{i} r_{G_{h, k}^{\prime}}\left(u_{j}, u_{i}\right)+\frac{1}{6}\left(k^{3}+3 k^{2}-k\right) h^{2}+\frac{1}{12}\left(-k^{3}-6 k^{2}+k\right) h .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is not difficult to see that $r_{G_{h, k}^{\prime}}\left(u_{j}, u_{i}\right)=r_{G_{h, k}}\left(c_{j}, c_{i}\right)+(i-j)$. So

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{h-1} \sum_{j=1}^{i} r_{G_{h, k}^{\prime}}\left(u_{j}, u_{i}\right) & =\sum_{i=1}^{h-1} \sum_{j=1}^{i}\left[r_{G_{h, k}}\left(c_{j}, c_{i}\right)+(i-j)\right] \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{h-1} \sum_{j=1}^{i} r_{G_{h, k}}\left(c_{j}, c_{i}\right)+\frac{1}{6} h(h-1)(h-2) \\
& =W\left(P_{h-1}, \omega_{r}\right)+\frac{1}{6} h(h-1)(h-2) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
K f\left(G_{h, k}^{\prime}\right)= & k^{2}\left[W\left(P_{h-1}, \omega_{r}\right)+\frac{1}{6} h(h-1)(h-2)\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{6}\left(k^{3}+3 k^{2}-k\right) h^{2}+\frac{1}{12}\left(-k^{3}-6 k^{2}+k\right) h \\
= & k^{2} W\left(P_{h-1}, \omega_{r}\right)+\frac{1}{6} k^{2} h^{3}+\frac{1}{6}\left(k^{3}-k\right) h^{2}+\frac{1}{12}\left(-k^{3}-2 k^{2}+k\right) h .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, by Lemmas 3.4 and 3.5

$$
\begin{aligned}
K f^{+}\left(G_{h, k}^{\prime}\right)= & K f^{+}\left(G_{h-1, k}^{\prime}\right)+K f^{+}\left(H_{h}\right)+k R_{D}\left(u_{h-1} \mid G_{h-1, k}^{\prime}\right) \\
& +k(h-1) R_{D}\left(v_{h-1} \mid H_{h}\right)+(2 k+2) R\left(u_{h-1} \mid G_{h-1, k}^{\prime}\right) \\
& +(2 k+2)(h-1) R\left(v_{h-1} \mid H_{h}\right)+k(h-1)(2 k+1) \\
& +k[(2 k+2)(h-1)-1] \\
= & K f^{+}\left(G_{h-1, k}^{\prime}\right)+\frac{1}{3}\left(k^{3}-k\right) \\
& +k\left[(2 k+2) \sum_{j=1}^{h-1} r_{G_{h, k}^{\prime}}\left(u_{j}, u_{h-1}\right)+\frac{1}{3}\left(k^{2}-4\right)(h-1)+1\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{3} k\left(k^{2}-1\right)(h-1)+(2 k+2)\left[k \sum_{j=1}^{h-1} r_{G_{h, k}^{\prime}}\left(u_{j}, u_{h-1}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{6}\left(k^{2}-1\right)(h-1)\right]+\frac{1}{3}(k+1)\left(k^{2}-1\right)(h-1) \\
& +k(h-1)(2 k+1)+k[(2 k+2)(h-1)-1] \\
= & K f^{+}\left(G_{h-1, k}^{\prime}\right)+\left(4 k^{2}+4 k\right) \sum_{j=1}^{h-1} r_{G_{h, k}^{\prime}}\left(u_{j}, u_{h-1}\right) \\
& +\frac{2}{3}\left(2 k^{3}+7 k^{2}+k-1\right)(h-1)+\frac{1}{3}\left(k^{3}-k\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =K f^{+}\left(G_{1, k}^{\prime}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{h-1}\left[\left(4 k^{2}+4 k\right) \sum_{j=1}^{i} r_{G_{h, k}^{\prime}}\left(u_{j}, u_{i}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{2}{3}\left(2 k^{3}+7 k^{2}+k-1\right) i+\frac{1}{3}\left(k^{3}-k\right)\right] \\
& =\left(4 k^{2}+4 k\right) \sum_{i=1}^{h-1} \sum_{j=1}^{i} r_{G_{h, k}^{\prime}}\left(u_{j}, u_{i}\right)+\frac{1}{3}\left(2 k^{3}+7 k^{2}+k-1\right) h^{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{3}\left(-k^{3}-7 k^{2}-2 k+1\right) h \\
& =\left(4 k^{2}+4 k\right)\left[W\left(P_{h-1}, \omega_{r}\right)+\frac{1}{6} h(h-1)(h-2)\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{3}\left(2 k^{3}+7 k^{2}+k-1\right) h^{2}+\frac{1}{3}\left(-k^{3}-7 k^{2}-2 k+1\right) h \\
& =\left(4 k^{2}+4 k\right) W\left(P_{h-1}, \omega_{r}\right)+\frac{2}{3}\left(k^{2}+k\right) h^{3}+\frac{1}{3}\left(2 k^{3}+k^{2}-5 k-1\right) h^{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{3}\left(-k^{3}-3 k^{2}+2 k+1\right) h, \\
& K f^{*}\left(G_{h, k}^{\prime}\right)=K f^{*}\left(G_{h-1, k}^{\prime}\right)+K f^{*}\left(H_{h}\right)+(2 k+2) R_{D}\left(u_{h-1} \mid G_{h-1, k}^{\prime}\right) \\
& +(2 k+2)(h-1) R_{D}\left(v_{h-1} \mid H_{h}\right)+(2 k+1)[(2 k+2)(h-1)-1] \\
& =K f^{*}\left(G_{h-1, k}^{\prime}\right)+\frac{1}{3}\left(k^{3}-k\right) \\
& +(2 k+2)\left[2(k+1) \sum_{j=1}^{h-1} r_{G_{h, k}^{\prime}}\left(u_{j}, u_{h-1}\right)+\frac{\left(k^{2}-4\right)(h-1)}{3}+1\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{3}(2 k+2)(h-1)\left(k^{2}-1\right)+(2 k+1)[(2 k+2)(h-1)-1] \\
& =K f^{*}\left(G_{h-1, k}^{\prime}\right)+4(k+1)^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{h-1} r_{G_{h, k}^{\prime}}\left(u_{j}, u_{h-1}\right) \\
& +\frac{4}{3}\left(k^{3}+4 k^{2}+2 k-1\right)(h-1)+\frac{1}{3}\left(k^{3}-k\right)+1 \\
& =K f^{*}\left(G_{1, k}^{\prime}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{h-1}\left[4(k+1)^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{i} r_{G_{h, k}^{\prime}}\left(u_{j}, u_{i}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{4}{3}\left(k^{3}+4 k^{2}+2 k-1\right) i+\frac{1}{3}\left(k^{3}-k\right)+1\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & 4(k+1)^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{h-1} \sum_{j=1}^{i} r_{G_{h, k}^{\prime}}\left(u_{j}, u_{i}\right)+\frac{2}{3}\left(k^{3}+4 k^{2}+2 k-1\right) h^{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{3}\left(-k^{3}-8 k^{2}-5 k+5\right) h-1 \\
= & 4(k+1)^{2}\left[W\left(P_{h-1}, \omega_{r}\right)+\frac{1}{6} h(h-1)(h-2)\right]+\frac{2}{3}\left(k^{3}+4 k^{2}+2 k-1\right) h^{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{3}\left(-k^{3}-8 k^{2}-5 k+5\right) h-1 \\
= & 4(k+1)^{2} W\left(P_{h-1}, \omega_{r}\right)+\frac{2}{3}(k+1)^{2} h^{3}+\frac{2}{3}\left(k^{3}+k^{2}-4 k-4\right) h^{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{3}\left(-k^{3}+k^{2}-5 k+9\right) h-1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof is completed.
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